



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/788,391	02/21/2001	Hiroyasu Fujiwara	826.1680/JDH	5413
21171	7590 05/20/2005		EXAM	INER
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			LY, ANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20005		2162	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/788,391	FUJIWARA, HIROYASU			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anh Ly	2162			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the departent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, n tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum y period will apply and will expire SIX (6 y statute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 25 April 2005.				
· ·		This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for a	<del>_</del>	matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are we Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideratior				
Applicat	ion Papers	•				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 February 200</u> : Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	1 is/are: a)  accepted or b to the drawing(s) be held in ab correction is required if the dra	veyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received uments have been received ne priority documents have to Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	. in Application No been received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	it(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- er No(s)/Mail Date		e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office Action is response to Applicant's response to after final rejection filed on 04/25/2005.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,623,658 issued to Kiuchi et al. (hereinafter Kiuchi).

With respect to claim 1, Kiuchi teaches a to-be-totalized information storage unit storing detail data as information to be totalized (totalization file storing information to be totalized: see fig. 1, items 11 and 12, col. 4, lines 55-67);

a hierarchical information storage unit having information used in totalizing the information to be totalized (structure file storing totalization hierarchy structure corresponding to totalizing levels (fig. 1, items 5 & 6, col. 4, lines 22-35);

Art Unit: 2162

a computing unit totalizing the detail data as information stored in the to-be-totalized information storage unit according to the one of the structures having hierarchical information stored in the hierarchical information storage unit (totalized result of totalization record is computed based on the totalized record in the hierarchical structure according totalization level (abstract, col. 1, lines 60-67 and col. 2, lines 1-16 and col. 3, lines 45-55); and

wherein said totalizing information can be displayed very readily in a form each individual user demands independently of data contents and regardless of a presence or absence of classification information for totalization (the totalizing information is displayed via display unit as shown in fig. 1, item 3; also see figs, 3, and 5A-5C).

With respect to claim 2, Kiuchi teaches comprising a display control unit controlling display of totalization results for information at an arbitrary hierarchical level in the hierarchical level hierarchical level in the hierarchical information and, if necessary, information at a hierarchical level lover than the arbitrary level or totalization results for information at the lower hierarchical level (abstract, col. 5, lines 35-58, col. 7, lines 35-50 and col. 8, lines 28-50 and totalization definition table for defining and controlling the hierarchical level or totalization results).

With respect to claim 3, Kiuchi teaches wherein the display control unit controls display of information at an even lower hierarchical level or totalization results for information at the even lower hierarchical level (totalization results: abstract, col. 5, lines 35-58, col. 7, lines 35-50 and col. 8, lines 28-50).

Art Unit: 2162

With respect to claim 4, Kiuchi teaches wherein the to-be-totalized information are classified into a plurality of groups, the hierarchical information storage unit stores hierarchical information about the plurality of groups, and the computing unit totalizes information stored in the to-be-totalized information storage unit on the basis of hierarchical information about any one of the groups (classification based on the group or product with the ID code: see figs 12, 15-19).

Claim 5 is essentially the same as claim 1 except that it is directed to a computer readable recording medium rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 1 hereinabove.

With respect to claim 6, Kiuchi teaches information storage storing information to be totalized (totalization file storing information to be totalized: see fig. 1, items 11 and 12, col. 4, lines 55-67);

totalization hierarchical information storage storing hierarchical information defining a totalization hierarchy allowing totalizing of the information to be totalized even when classification information is unavailable for user in totalizing the information to be totalized (fig. 1, items 5 & 6, col. 4, lines 22-35, and classification based on the group or product with the ID code: see figs 12, 15-19); and

a computing unit totalizing the information stored in the information storage according to the hierarchical information stored in the hierarchical information storage (abstract, col. 1, lines 60-67 and col. 2, lines 1-16 and col. 3, lines 45-55; also the totalizing information is displayed via display unit as shown in fig. 1, item 3; also see figs, 3, and 5A-5C).

Art Unit: 2162

5. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,623,658 issued to Kiuchi et al. (hereinafter Kiuchi) in view of US Patent No. 6,397,221 issued to Greef et al. (hereinafter Greef).

With respect to claim 7, Kiuchi teaches storing data to be totaled (totalization file storing information to be totalized: see fig. 1, items 11 and 12, col. 4, lines 55-67);

allowing a user to select one of the hierarchies (a user can easily totalize data of hierarchical structure according to a totalizing level: col. 2, lines 5-18); and

totaling the data responsive to the hierarchy selected (abstract, col. 5, lines 35-58, col. 7, lines 35-50 and col. 8, lines 28-50).

Kiuchi teaches storing the to-be-totalized data, totalized data is stored in a hierarchical structure corresponding to the totalizing level and the totalization information in the hierarchical structure to be displayed to user, who can easily to select to level or desired information to be display according to totalizing level, via a display unit (see fig. 1). Kiuchi does not clearly teach creating at least plural hierarchies linking the data according to plural users hierarchy specifications associated with the plural users data requirements.

However, Greef teaches displaying information over a plurality of users computer system network (see fig. 1). The display information includes step s for enabling users to browser data to assess what changes to the existing hierarchical structure (see fig. 8, col. 15, lines 38-67 and col. 16, lines 1-10).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Kiuchi with the teachings

Application/Control Number: 09/788,391 Page 6

Art Unit: 2162

of Greef, wherein the data or information storing in hierarchical structure provided therein (Kiuch's figs, 3, 4), would incorporate the user of displaying hierarchical information and enabling the users of selection/controlling the specified hierarchical information in the structure to be displayed or viewed, in the same conventional manner as described by Greef (fig. 8, col. 15, lines 38-67). The motivation being to enable the user to select the desired information to be displayed according to totalizing level as data stored in a hierarchical structure.

With respect to claim 8, teaches wherein the hierarchy has levels and the user is allowed to select a level within the hierarchy and the total for that level in the hierarchy is produced (a user can easily totalize data of hierarchical structure according to a totalizing level: col. 2, lines 5-18).

Art Unit: 2162

## **Contact Information**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-4039 or via E-Mail: ANH.LY@USPTO.GOV or fax to (571) 273-4039. The examiner can normally be reached on TUESDAY – THURSDAY from 8:30 AM – 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene, can be reached on (571) 272-4107 or Primary Examiner Jean Corrielus (571) 272-4032.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, or faxed to: Central Fax Center (703) 872-9306

ANH LY May 16<sup>th</sup>, 2005

JEAN M/CORRIELUS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7